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“First cultivate yourself; then cure others”.

Medical education strives to nurture ethical, caring doctors. Given the increasing commercialisation of medical practice, there is a need to include a discussion of ethical pricing in the medical curriculum. Ethical pricing refers to pricing that is both reasonable to the patient and the physician, ensuring that the patient is not used as a means to an end – the physician's profit – and the fee is commensurate with the physician's service. We believe the Confucian ethical paradigm, steeped in virtue ethics, can help guide such an educational objective. Confucian ethics offers a unique insight into Singapore's approach towards medical pricing, perhaps best illustrated by the Singapore Medical Council's (SMC) judgement on Dr Susan Lim. This can serve to guide and edify future medical practitioners.

While it may be challenging for students who have yet to commence medical practice to appreciate the nuances in medical pricing, incorporating the dialectics of pricing into an existing undergraduate curriculum in medical ethics stresses its importance and can help students better tackle the challenges of intuitive reasoning and ethical decision making in a dynamic real world environment. Some may also note that medical students, after they transition to junior doctors, have little say in the pricing decisions of their institutions. This may be the case even amongst many senior consultants in public and private hospitals. However, there is value in having students consider these issues, to foster greater empathy for the financial considerations of their patients and the challenges of ensuring viability of a medical practice, and in the hope that some can become advocates of ethical pricing within medical associations and in policy-making.

In 2011, Dr Lim, was convicted of overcharging by the SMC and suspended from practice. She had charged Bruneian royalty more than S$20 million for end-of-life care. In arriving at the judgement, SMC determined that there was an “ethical limit” to medical pricing (Singapore Medical Council, 2012) that if transgressed, could bring legal repercussions.

It also ruled “affluence” to be a non-factor in determining price, arguing that wealthy patients should be treated equally to poor ones, although doctors are encouraged to heavily discount the “indigent’s” treatment (Singapore Medical Council, 2012). Western jurisprudence raises concern over physicians’ liberty to freely price based on demand-and-supply, especially since the limit will be determined by “peers” in the profession (Singapore Medical Council, 2012), potentially introducing subjectivity.

There is no denying a wealthy patient’s right-to-health even as the doctor charges high prices. An injured sports personality of celebrity status, for example, saves millions in income and endorsements if the surgeon’s skills can help him achieve a speedy recovery. Since there is no discretionary exploitation occurring, should not the surgeon gain a share commensurate with his efforts?

The “unstated” ethical limit could be better understood through Confucian ethics, a dominant philosophy in Singapore. Confucianism practices a more intuitive,
rather than critical, level of ethical reasoning which emphasises humanness. Liberties can only be exercised through participation within a community (Tsai, 2001) and with an emphasis on rites or customs, or “Li” 礼 which may not need to be codified (Wang & Solum, 2012). Therefore, the physician’s behaviour should be guided by the norms and prevailing practice of his fellow practitioners, hence allowing for a dynamic, evolving ethical price limit. Such a limit is governed by ethical and social considerations, unlike the amoral “invisible hand” in the free market.

Additionally, Confucian virtue ethics embraces the nobleman concept, which emphasises the importance of self-regulation. The noble “bears the suffering of patients in mind, not his own material interests” (Guo, 1995). In doing so, he demonstrates the principle of “Ren” 仁 that can transcend “contractual relations” (Low, 2011). Confucius stressed that economic agents must abandon selfish desires in transactions. From this perspective, if a physician seeks personal gain in pricing decisions, he transgresses the ethical limit.

Moreover, Confucianism espouses the principle of “Yi” 义 or righteousness. In the words of ethicist Chen Shih-Kung, it is based on “the idea of treating high or low, rich or poor equally” (Tsai, 1999). Such a principle forbids the discrimination of individuals, including on the basis of wealth. This dovetails with the SMC’s (2012) stand that “we do not, however, accept that the affluence of the patient is an objective criterion which can legitimately be taken into account in setting or assessing what is a fair and reasonable fee” (p. 41).

However, such a philosophy does not preclude physicians subsidising the care of patients who are unable to afford their fees. As Chen wrote, "Medicine should be given free to the poor. Extra financial help should be extended to the destitute patients if possible. Without food, medicine alone cannot relieve the distress of a patient” (Tsai, 1999). Such a view is echoed by the SMC (2012) which deemed it morally exemplary to charge "an indigent patient a fee which is less than a fair and reasonable fee, or even to waive a fee, simply because the patient is indigent” (p. 41).

From a Confucian viewpoint, a more appropriate pricing yardstick would be the physician charging a price he himself would be willing to pay if he were in the patient's position. This would come naturally to someone who practices deep self-cultivation such that he naturally embodies the Confucian virtue of Benevolence and Righteousness.

Medical profession’s nobleness cannot be over-emphasised. Confucian tenets can help in its expression.
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